

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                     | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.          | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/055,883                          | 01/28/2002    | Yong-Kyu Jang        | 6192.0222.AA 2057            |                  |
| 75                                  | 90 06/28/2004 |                      | EXAMINER                     |                  |
| McGuireWoods                        |               |                      | CHUNG, DAVID Y               |                  |
| Suite 1800<br>1750 Tysons Boulevard |               |                      | ART UNIT                     | PAPER NUMBER     |
| McLean, VA                          |               |                      | 2871 DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004 |                  |
|                                     |               |                      |                              |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Applicati n No.  | Applicant(s)  |   |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 10/055,883   | JANG, YONG-KYU  |   |  |  |
| , and a second  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |   |  |  |
|   | David Y. Chung   | 2871  | Man 1   |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears n the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add  | ress  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 08 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | oid abandonment of this application<br>a timely filed amendment which  | ation. A proper reply<br>h places the applica   | y to a<br>ition in  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]   |   |   |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper the final of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final | on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR  |  |   |   |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:   |  |   |   |  |  |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  |  |   |   |  |  |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  |  |   |   |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  |  |   |   |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli  | ng a corresponding number of f   | inally rejected claim   | s.  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .   |  |   |   |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |  |   |   |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 1,2,4-16 and<br>amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | <u>18-29</u> would be allowable if subn  | nitted in a separate,   | timely filed  |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se   |  | dered but does NO   | T place the   |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ause it is not directed SOLELY t   | o issues which were   | e newly   |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we   |  |   | and an  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |   |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>10-14</u> .  |  |   |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: 3-14,17-23,25-29,31,32,34 and   | <u>' 35</u> .  |   |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,15,16,24,30 and 33</u> .  |  | $\cap$  |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |   |   |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app  | roved or b) disapproved by t   | he Examiner.  |   |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  |  |   |   |  |  |
| 10. Other:  |  | ROBERT H.<br>SUPERVISORY PATE   |   |  |  |

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800** 

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitations in claims 30 and 33, reciting that the pad is fully exposed by the first insulating layer raises new issues requiring further search and consideration. Further, claims 36-39 have been newly added and also require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims as finally rejected were not allowable over the prior art.